UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,375	12/23/2003	Kiyohisa Ichino	Q79111	8962
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/31/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	LIU, BEN H		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/743,375	ICHINO, KIYOHISA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BEN H. LIU	2616		

		BENTI: EIG	2010
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>18 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.
app app for	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ilication, applicant must timely file one of the following ilication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Clods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) 🛚	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
have been under 37 ( set forth in may reduc	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of external content of the second co	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of the chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filin	g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter ice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOา	
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
_	They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		timely filed amondment concelling the
_ nor	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all e-allowable claim(s). purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	·	
hov The Cla Cla Cla	with the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: 1-6, 8, and 10-17. im(s) withdrawn from consideration:		The entered and an explanation of
<u>AFFIDAV</u>	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
bec	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	le affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation IT FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu		condition for allowance because:
_	ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (her:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	N BACKER/ sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616		

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment, which amends claims 1 and 10 and adds new claim 18, now specifies a "higher-layer protocol data comprising a head portion of a higher-layer protocol frame, higher-layer protocol data comprising a middle portion of a higher-layer protocol frame, and higher-layer protocol data comprising a tail portion of a higher-layer protocol frame, and said type information indicating said higher-layer protocol data comprising said tail portion of a higher-layer protocol frame comprises a plurality of type information corresponding to an amount of valid data in said tail portion." The limitation has not be previously presented and now alters the scope of the claims. This new issue will require further search and consideration.

For claim 6, the applicant suggests that "Neither the portion of Kelly cited by the Examiner, nor any other portion of Kelly, discloses or suggests that the relay station receives continuous blocks and idle blocks and discards the idle blocks and continuous blocks containing bit errors to extract only valid continuous blocks, as set forth in the claims." The examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that the Murase reference discloses relay stations that contain traffic rate controllers that monitors the data forwarded from the transmission buffer and generates the control signal for the dummy data generator (see column 4 lines 58-67 and column 5 lines 1-4). The Kelly reference further discloses performance monitoring and error detection of traffic transported over an ATM network (see paragraphs 13 and 62). Therefore, the examiner respectfully maintains the U.S.C. 103(a) rejection for claim 6.